

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STA

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,586	-	05/24/2002	Hans Lander	10191/2175	6461
26646	26646 7590 02/25/2004			EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON				HWU, DAVIS D	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3752	$\overline{}$
				DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Offic Action Summary

		г
Application No.	Applicant(s)	r
10/030,586	LANDER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Davis Hwu	3752	

nunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Peri d for Reply	The cover sheet was the correspondence accident					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 January</u>	<u>2002</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is	is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance excellent accordance with the practice under Ex parts						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 16-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re-	equired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priori since a specific reference was included in the first sentence 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priori reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the specific reference was included in the specific reference was i	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this National Stage Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. al application has been received. ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
12,0,5,5,10022.2.2						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/030,586

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16, 19, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades.

The patent to Nally et al. discloses a fuel injector for an internal combustion engine having an externally supplied ignition, the fuel injector comprising a fuel inlet 56, a movable valve closure member 24, a fixed valve seat 40 as recited, and a downstream valve end including a component 41 and a fuel outlet, wherein the fuel outlet includes at least one discharge orifice of the component since the component 41 is an orifice disk, and the at least one discharge is arranged downstream of the fixed valve seat (see Figure 1). Nally et al. do not disclose the component including a coating as recited. The patent to Rhoades teaches a method of making a fuel injector in which the fuel injector comprises discharge orifices which are coated with PTFE which contains fluorine in order to attain precise flow resistance. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. by providing a coating comprising PTFE around the at least one discharge orifice as taught by Rhoades in order to attain precise flow resistance. The method of coating a surface via spraying as recited in claim 24 is well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,586

Art Unit: 3752

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Fedorovich et al.

The patent of Fedorovich et al. teaches coating internal combustion engines with fluorosilicate to improve heat resistance of the various surfaces of the engines. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. and Rhoades by providing a coating comprising fluorosilicate as taught by Fedorovich et al. in order to provide heat resistance.

- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Otomo et al. The patent of Otomo et al. teaches coating gaskets with heat and corrosion resistant PTFE. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. and Rhoades by providing coating comprising PTFE as taught by Otomo et al. to resist heat and corrosion of the discharge orifice.
- 5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Komaroff et al.

The patent of Komaroff et al. teaches an internal combustion comprising an autoignition in which the auto-ignition in known to prevent the problem of having to convert time variables into angle variables as required in a spark-ignition engine. Application/Control Number: 10/030,586

Art Unit: 3752

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. and Rhoades by providing the engine with an auto-ignition as taught by Komaroff et al. to prevent the problem of having to convert time variables into angle variables as required in a sparkignition engine.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Fleming et al., Potter et al., and Matsumoto et al. are pertinent to Applicant's invention.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis. Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Davis Hwu